

Reductions, Oracles, and the Halting Problem

“Reducing Problem **X** to Problem **Y**” means showing that Problem **Y** is *at least as hard as* (or harder than!) Problem **X**. We do this via Turing reductions: if we can build a Turing machine that solves Problem **X** using Problem **Y** as a tool, it means that Problem **Y** is at least as powerful as Problem **X**. To say “Problem **X** is Turing-reducible to Problem **Y**”, we write:



$$X \leq_T Y$$

Problem 1.

The “language of acceptance”, $A_L =$
 $\{ \langle M \rangle, x \mid M(x) \text{ accepts} \}$

Reduce A_L to HALT_L .

Hint: Create a machine for A_L – call it A_M – that uses a magic blackbox machine for HALT_L – call it HALT_M – as a component.

Code for $A_M(\langle M \rangle, x)$:

This only proves that HALT is harder than A. Next, we’ll prove that A is undecidable, by proving that A is harder than HALT.

Problem 2.

Go the other way. Reduce HALT_L to A_L . (This proves A_L is undecidable.)

Code for $\text{HALT}_M(\langle M \rangle, x)$:

Problem 3.

The “language of emptiness”, $E_L =$
 $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is } \emptyset \}$

$L(M)$ means “the language of M ” – that is, the language that M decides. (*not* recognizes)

Reduce A_L to E_L .

Code for $A_M(\langle M \rangle, x)$:

Problem 4.

The “language of regular-language-accepting machines”, $\text{REGULAR}_L = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is regular} \}$

Show that REGULAR_L is undecidable by reducing one of the above undecidable languages (A_L , E_L , or HALT_L) to REGULAR_L . Write your choice among those three in the blank.

Code for _____, using REGULAR_L as a magic blackbox:

Problem 5.

The "language of equality", $EQ_L =$

$$\{ \langle M_1 \rangle, \langle M_2 \rangle \mid L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$$

Show that EQ_L is undecidable by reducing one of the above undecidable languages (A_L , E_L , $HALT_L$, or $REGULAR_L$) to EQ_L . Write your choice among those four in the blank.

Code for _____, using EQ_L as a magic blackbox: